Joint Legislative Budget Committee Staff Memorandum

 1716 West Adams
 Telephone: (602) 926-5491

 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
 Facsimile: (602) 926-5416

DATE: July 31, 2006

TO: Members of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee

Thru: Richard Stavneak, Director

From: Tim Everill, Revenue Section Chief

SUBJECT: POPULATION ESTIMATES

A.R.S. § 42-5033.01, as amended by Laws 2006, Chapter 351, provides that, no later than July 31, 2006, the Joint Legislative Budget Committee shall prepare a report comparing the population estimates produced by the Department of Economic Security (DES) with any available census data. The report is also required to include a comparison of state shared revenues distributed to cities, towns and counties electing to use census data as the basis for distribution, with the amounts that would have been distributed using the population estimates produced by DES.

Population Estimates

A.R.S. § 42-5033.01 provides that, for the period beginning FY 2006 and extending through FY 2011, a city or county may elect one of the following to be used as the basis for distributing state shared revenues, including Transaction Privilege Tax (sales tax), Urban Revenue Sharing (URS), Highway User Revenue (HURF), and Vehicle License Tax (VLT):

- DES estimates (approved by DES 2/23/06)
- Special Census (as authorized by A.R.S. § 42-5033)
- Census Sample Survey
- 2000 Decennial Census

Attachment A provides a summary of population estimates, and the source elected by the local jurisdiction, for all of the incorporated cities and towns, and the counties in the state. As can be seen in the attachment, one city chose a special census (Maricopa), Maricopa County and all of the cities in Maricopa County (except Gila Bend) elected to use a census sample survey, and the majority of the remainder of the cities and counties in the state chose the DES estimates. Some smaller cities, as well as Greenlee County, chose to continue to use the 2000 decennial census numbers. If a jurisdiction contracted with the bureau of the census for a sample survey, state statute prohibits that jurisdiction from using DES population estimates for the distribution of state shared revenues.

Attached are spreadsheets showing the impact on the distribution of the various state shared revenues resulting from jurisdictions in the state electing to use census data for their population figures. Each spreadsheet provides an estimate of the amount of revenue each jurisdiction would have received based solely on the DES population, compared to the amount they will, or are projected to receive, based on the final population options chosen by the jurisdictions. This latter amount reflects a combination of the DES estimates for some jurisdictions, and a census estimate for other jurisdictions.

(Continued)



The following sections provide explanations of how each of the state shared revenues is distributed, and an overview of how the selection of population estimates will impact the FY 2007 distribution.

Sales Tax and Urban Revenue Sharing to Cities – Attachment B

State sales tax revenues are shared with incorporated cities and the counties within the state. URS (a portion of state individual and corporate income taxes) is shared only with incorporated cities. Both sales tax and URS shared with cities is distributed based on their relative population to all of the incorporated cities in the state. The estimated distributions to cities displayed in *Attachment B* are based on estimated sales tax revenues included in the enacted FY 2007 budget, and the URS distribution is based on FY 2005 income tax revenues (URS is distributed to cities with a 2-year lag).

Sales Tax to Counties – Attachment C

As noted in the previous section, a portion of state sales tax revenues is shared with counties. The distributions are generally based one-half on origin of sales (in which county the sales tax was generated), and one-half on relative population. There are also statutory provisions that distribute additional amounts to counties whose relative percentage of property valuation is higher than their relative population percentage. The FY 2007 projections are based on the enacted budget forecast growth, and assume each county will have the same share of origin of sales as in the prior year.

<u>Highway User Revenue to Cities and Counties – Attachment D</u>

A portion of HURF revenues is distributed to cities and counties in the state based on a complex set of distribution formulas that include relative population of cities, counties, and unincorporated county areas, as well as county origin of sales of fuel. The FY 2007 projections assume a 7% growth rate in HURF collections, and the same origin of sales percentages as in the prior year.

<u>Vehicle License Tax Revenue to Cities and Counties – Attachment E</u>

A portion of VLT revenues is distributed to cities and counties in the state. The portion distributed to counties is based entirely on county origin of vehicle registration, and is therefore not impacted by which population estimates each county elected to use. The portion distributed to cities is based on each city's population relative to each other city in the county. The city amounts are first distributed to the county, based on origin of registrations, and then distributed to each city based on relative population within the county. The FY 2007 projections assume a 5% growth in VLT revenues, and the same origin of vehicle registration percentages as in the prior year.

It should be noted that the FY 2007 amounts for all of the distributions noted in the attachments, except for URS (which will be distributed based on FY 2005 income tax collections), are based on estimates, and as such, are subject to change. However, the overall trends indicated in the spreadsheets will not change.

RS:ym

	DES	Populatio 2000	Special	Sample	Adopted
CITIES BY COUNTY	Estimate	Census 1/	Census	Survey	Population
CITES DI COCIVII	Listinate	COLISTIS	CCIISUS	Burvey	Topulation
APACHE	73,775	-	-	-	73,775
Eager	4,435	-	-	-	4,435
St. Johns	3,865	-	-	-	3,865
Springerville	2,065	-	-	-	2,065
COCHISE	131,790	_	-	-	131,790
Benson	4,740	-	-	-	4,740
Bisbee	6,570	-	-	-	6,570
Douglas	17,195	-	-	-	17,195
Huachuca City	1,830	-	-	-	1,830
Sierra Vista	43,690	-	-	-	43,690
Tombstone	1,610	-	-	-	1,610
Willcox	3,885	-	-	-	3,885
COCONINO	130,530	-	-	-	130,530
Flagstaff	61,185	-	-	-	61,185
Fredonia	1,110	-	-	-	1,110
Page	7,110	-	-	-	7,110
Williams	3,145	-	-	-	3,145
GILA	54,445	-	-	-	54,445
Globe	7,495	-	-	-	7,495
Hayden	860	892	-	-	892
Miami	1,955	-	-	-	1,955
Payson	15,430	-	2.006	-	15,430
Star Valley Winkleman *	2,006	- 442	2,006	-	2,006
winkieman "	435	443	-	-	443
GRAHAM	35,455	-	-	-	35,455
Pima	2,085	-	-	-	2,085
Safford	9,360	-	-	-	9,360
Thatcher	4,550	-	-	-	4,550
GREENLEE	8,300	8,547	-	-	8,547
Clifton	2,495	2,596	-	-	2,596
Duncan	805	812	-	-	812
LA PAZ	21,190	-	-	-	21,190
Parker	3,280	-	-	-	3,280
Quartzsite	3,600	-	-	-	3,600
MARICOPA	3,648,545	_	-	3,700,516	3,700,516
Avondale	66,110	-	-	69,356	69,356
Buckeye	20,780	-	-	25,406	25,406
Carefree	3,500	-	-	3,684	3,684
Cave Creek	4,615	-	-	4,766	4,766
Chandler	231,785	-	-	230,845	230,845
El Mirage	29,630	-	-	32,061	32,061
Fountain Hills Gila Bend	23,105	1,980	-	24,492	24,492
Gilbert	2,050 178,000	1,980	-	173,072	1,980 173,072
Glendale	236,030	_	-	242,369	242,369
Goodyear	41,225	_	_	46,213	46,213
Guadalupe	5,425	_	_	5,555	5,555
Litchfield Park	4,265	-	-	4,528	4,528
Mesa	452,355	-	-	448,096	448,096
Paradise Valley	14,560	-	-	13,863	13,863
Peoria *	137,295	-	-	138,143	138,143
Phoenix	1,452,825	-	-	1,475,834	1,475,834
Queen Creek *	15,890	-	-	16,414	16,414
Scottsdale	223,835	-	-	234,752	234,752
Surprise	78,265	-	-	88,265	88,265

Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

	Population Option								
	DES	2000	Special	Sample	Adopted				
CITIES BY COUNTY	Estimate	Census 1/	Census	Survey	Population				
Tempe	160,735	_	_	165,796	165,796				
Tolleson	5,460	-	-	6,498	6,498				
Wickenburg	6,590	_	_	6,077	6,077				
Youngtown	4,055	-	-	6,163	6,163				
1 oungtown	4,033	-	-	0,105	0,103				
MOHAVE	188,035	-	-	-	188,035				
Bullhead City	38,210	-	-	-	38,210				
Colorado City	4,080	-	-	-	4,080				
Kingman	25,860	-	-	-	25,860				
Lake Havasu City	53,435	-	-	-	53,435				
NAVAJO	109,985	-	-	-	109,985				
Holbrook	5,425	-	-	-	5,425				
Pinetop-Lakeside	4,165	-	-	-	4,165				
Show Low	9,885	-	-	-	9,885				
Snowflake	4,935	-	-	-	4,935				
Taylor	4,100	-	-	-	4,100				
Winslow	9,835	-	-	-	9,835				
PIMA	957,635	_	_	_	957,635				
Marana	26,725	_	_	_	26,725				
Oro Valley	39,400	_	_	_	39,400				
Sahuarita	13,990	_	_	_	13,990				
South Tucson	5,630	_	_	_	5,630				
Tucson	529,770	-	-	-	529,770				
PINAL	246,660	_		_	246,660				
Apache Junction *	34,070	_	_	_	34,070				
Casa Grande	32,470	_	_	_	32,470				
Coolidge	8,180	_	_	_	8,180				
Eloy	11,125	_	_	_	11,125				
Florence	20,530	_	_	_	20,530				
Kearny	2,185	2,249	_	_	2,249				
Mammoth	1,740	1,762	_	_	1,762				
Maricopa	9,790	-	15,934	_	15,934				
Superior	3,170	3,254	-	-	3,254				
SANTA CRUZ	44,055				44,055				
		-	-	-	21,830				
Nogales	21,830 920	-	-	-	920				
Patagonia	920	-	-	-	920				
YAVAPAI	205,105	-	-	-	205,105				
Camp Verde	10,730	-	-	-	10,730				
Chino Valley	12,325	-	-	-	12,325				
Clarkdale	3,680	-	-	-	3,680				
Cottonwood	10,860	-	-	-	10,860				
Dewey-Humboldt	4,030	-	-	-	4,030				
Jerome	330	-	-	-	330				
Prescott	40,770	-	-	-	40,770				
Prescott Valley	33,575	-	-	_	33,575				
Sedona *	10,935	-	-	-	10,935				
YUMA	189,480	-	-	-	189,480				
San Luis	22,930	-	-	_	22,930				
Somerton	9,750	-	_	_	9,750				
Wellton	1,970	-	_	-	1,970				
Yuma	88,775	-	-	-	88,775				

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Reflects only those jurisdictions using 2000 decennial census for shared revenues

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}$ Population in 2 counties - shown in county containing majority of population.

State-Shared Revenues - Cities Urban Revenue Sharing and Sales Tax Distributions Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

		FV 2007 Dietril	Variance -		
		FY 2007 Distributions Based on: DES Population Combined Population		DES/Combined	
CITIES BY COUNTY		Estimate		Estimate 1/	Population
APACHE	\$	040 202	ď	025 070	¢ (14.122)
Eager St. Johns	Э	949,202 827,208	\$	935,079 814,900	\$ (14,123) (12,308)
Springerville		441,962		435,386	(6,576)
Springer vine		441,702		433,300	(0,570)
COCHISE					
Benson		1,014,480		999,386	(15,094)
Bisbee		1,406,146		1,385,225	(20,922)
Douglas		3,680,165		3,625,409	(54,756)
Huachuca City		391,666		385,839	(5,828)
Sierra Vista Tombstone		9,350,766 344,581		9,211,638 339,454	(139,128) (5,127)
Willcox		831,488		819,117	(12,372)
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		001,100		015,117	(12,5,2)
COCONINO					
Flagstaff		13,095,139		12,900,299	(194,840)
Fredonia		237,568		234,033	(3,535)
Page		1,521,720		1,499,079	(22,641)
Williams		673,110		663,095	(10,015)
GILA					
Globe		1,604,120		1,580,252	(23,867)
Hayden		184,062		188,070	4,008
Miami		418,420		412,194	(6,226)
Payson		3,302,411		3,253,275	(49,136)
Star Valley		429,335		422,947	(6,388)
Winkleman *		93,101		93,403	301
GRAHAM					
Pima		446,243		439,603	(6,640)
Safford		2,003,277		1,973,471	(29,806)
Thatcher		973,815		959,326	(14,489)
GREENLEE					
Clifton		533,993		547,343	13,350
Duncan		172,290		171,203	(1,088)
LA PAZ					
Parker		702,003		691,558	(10,445)
Quartzsite		770,491		759,027	(11,464)
MARKORA					
MARICOPA		14 140 214		14 622 000	172 966
Avondale Buckeye		14,149,214 4,447,446		14,623,080 5,356,623	473,866 909,177
Carefree		749,089		776,738	27,649
Cave Creek		987,727		1,004,868	17,141
Chandler		49,607,859		48,671,563	(936,296)
El Mirage		6,341,570		6,759,769	418,199
Fountain Hills		4,945,055		5,163,915	218,860
Gila Bend		438,752		417,465	(21,287)
Gilbert		38,096,507		36,490,653	(1,605,855)
Glendale		50,516,397		51,101,293	584,897
Goodyear Guadalupe		8,823,194 1,161,087		9,743,590 1,171,221	920,396 10,134
Litchfield Park		912,818		954,688	41,870
Mesa		96,815,425		94,476,955	(2,338,470)
Paradise Valley		3,116,209		2,922,887	(193,322)
Peoria *		29,384,607		29,126,192	(258,415)
Phoenix		310,941,339		311,166,140	224,801
Queen Creek *		3,400,862		3,460,742	59,880
Scottsdale		47,906,358		49,495,318	1,588,960
Surprise Tempe		16,750,692 34,401,360		18,609,870 34,956,575	1,859,178 555,214
Tolleson		1,168,578		1,370,044	201,466
Wickenburg		1,410,427		1,281,280	(129,147)
Youngtown		867,873		1,299,412	431,540
]

State-Shared Revenues - Cities Urban Revenue Sharing and Sales Tax Distributions Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

		FY 2007 Distrib	Variance -		
				nbined Population	DES/Combined
CITIES BY COUNTY		Estimate		Estimate 1/	Population
MOHAVE					
MOHAVE	\$	0 177 000	ď	9.056.220	\$ (121,678)
Bullhead City	3	8,177,908	\$	8,056,230	. , , ,
Colorado City		873,223 5 534 605		860,231	(12,993)
Kingman Lake Havasu City		5,534,695 11,436,443		5,452,345 11,266,282	(82,350) (170,161)
Bake Havasa City		11,130,113		11,200,202	(170,101)
NAVAJO					
Holbrook		1,161,087		1,143,812	(17,276)
Pinetop-Lakeside		891,415		878,152	(13,263)
Show Low		2,115,640		2,084,162	(31,478)
Snowflake		1,056,215		1,040,500	(15,715)
Taylor		877,504		864,448	(13,056)
Winslow		2,104,939		2,073,620	(31,319)
PIMA					
Marana		5,719,827		5,634,723	(85,104)
Oro Valley		8,432,598		8,307,131	(125,467)
Sahuarita		2,994,214		2,949,664	(44,550)
South Tucson		1,204,963		1,187,034	(17,928)
Tucson		113,384,195		111,697,173	(1,687,022)
PINAL					
Apache Junction *		7,291,843		7,183,349	(108,494)
Casa Grande		6,949,402		6,846,003	(103,399)
Coolidge		1,750,727		1,724,678	(26,049)
Eloy		2,381,032		2,345,605	(35,427)
Florence		4,393,940		4,328,563	(65,377)
Kearny		467,645		474,181	6,536
Mammoth		372,404		371,502	(902)
Maricopa		2,095,308		3,359,539	1,264,231
Superior		678,460		686,076	7,616
SANTA CRUZ					
Nogales		4,672,173		4,602,656	(69,516)
Patagonia		196,903		193,974	(2,930)
YAVAPAI					
Camp Verde		2,296,492		2,262,323	(34,169)
Chino Valley		2,637,862		2,598,614	(39,248)
Clarkdale		787,613		775,894	(11,719)
Cottonwood		2,324,315		2,289,732	(34,583)
Dewey-Humboldt		862,522		849,689	(12,833)
Jerome		70,628		69,577	(1,051)
Prescott		8,725,812		8,595,983	(129,830)
Prescott Valley		7,185,900		7,078,983	(106,918)
Sedona *		2,340,367		2,305,545	(34,822)
VIIMA					
YUMA San Luis		4 007 601		1 021 501	(72.010)
San Luis		4,907,601		4,834,581	(73,019)
Somerton Wellton		2,086,747 421,630		2,055,699	(31,048)
Yuma		19,000,098		415,357 18,717,399	(6,273) (282,699)
	Φ.				
TOTAL:	\$	1,024,601,500	\$	1,024,601,500	\$ 0

^{*} Population in 2 counties - shown in county containing majority of population.

Assumptions

 $^{1/\,{\}rm The}$ "combined" population estimate is a combination of the DES estimates for some jurisdictions and a census estimate for other jurisdictions.

⁻ FY 2007 URS total distribution is \$551,315,800, based on FY 2005 state income tax collections.

⁻ FY2007 state shared sales taxes distributed to cities and towns is estimated to be

^{\$473,285,700} based on the enacted budget increase in sales tax collections of 7.8%.

State-Shared Revenues - Counties Sales Tax Distributions Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

		FY 2007 Distributions Based on:					
	DES	DES Population Combined Population		DES/Con	bined		
COUNTY		Estimate		Estimate 1/	Popula	tion	
Apache	\$	5,259,096	\$	5,221,421	\$ (3	7,675)	
Cochise		14,884,460		14,817,158	(6	7,302)	
Coconino		16,579,872		16,513,213	(6	6,658)	
Gila		5,517,541		5,489,737	(2	7,804)	
Graham		3,333,003		3,314,897	(1	8,106)	
Greenlee		4,239,115		4,249,478	1	0,364	
La Paz		2,134,484		2,123,663	(1	0,821)	
Maricopa		493,532,194		494,741,394	1,20	9,200	
Mohave		22,417,750		22,321,725	(9	6,025)	
Navajo		11,506,160		11,449,993	(5	6,167)	
Pima		109,868,739		109,379,699	(48	9,040)	
Pinal		25,150,367		25,024,404	(12	5,963)	
Santa Cruz		4,959,176		4,936,678	(2	2,498)	
Yavapai		27,622,403		27,517,661	(10	4,742)	
Yuma		19,907,740		19,810,977	(9	6,763)	
TOTAL:	\$	766,912,100	\$	766,912,100	\$	(0)	

1/ The "combined" population estimate is a combination of the DES estimates for some jurisdictions and a census estimate for other jurisdictions.

Assumptions:

⁻ FY2007 state shared sales taxes distributed to counties is estimated to be \$766,912,100 based on the enacted budget increase in sales tax collections of 7.8%.

⁻ Distributions to counties are made based on an average of the relative population of the county, and the origin of sales (in which county the sales tax was collected). This analysis assumes the FY 2007 origin of sales percentage for each county equals the FY 2006 average.

Highway User Revenue Fund Population Worksheet - A.R.S. 42-5033.01

	DES Population Combined Population			
HIDISDICTION	_	Estimate 1/	DES/Combined Population	
JURISDICTION	Estimate	Estimate	Population	
APACHE	\$ 7,883,965	\$ 7,943,072	\$ 59,107	
Eager	1,060,927	1,058,346	(2,581)	
St. Johns	924,573	922,324	(2,250)	
Springerville	493,983	492,781	(1,202)	
COCHISE	9,289,535	9,338,257	48,723	
Benson	422,662	419,903	(2,759)	
Bisbee	585,841	582,017	(3,824)	
Douglas	1,533,263	1,523,255	(10,008)	
Huachuca City	163,179	162,114	(1,065)	
Sierra Vista	3,895,799	3,870,370	(25,429)	
Tombstone	143,562	142,625	(937)	
Willcox	346,422	344,161	(2,261)	
COCONINO	11,303,486	11,354,642	51,155	
Flagstaff	8,381,179	8,345,568	(35,611)	
Fredonia	152,049	151,403	(646)	
Page	973,935	969,796 428,075	(4,138)	
Williams Sedona *	430,805	428,975	(1,830)	
GILA	424,641 4,216,020	422,837 4,238,162	(1,804) 22,142	
Globe	903,455	898,228	(5,227)	
Hayden	103,665	106,901	3,235	
Miami	235,658	234,294	(1,363)	
Payson	1,859,948	1,849,187	(10,761)	
Winkleman *	51,833	52,492	659	
Star Valley	241,805	240,406	(1,399)	
GRAHAM	2,711,596	2,729,735	18,139	
Pima	182,343	181,129	(1,214)	
Safford	818,575	813,127	(5,448)	
Thatcher	397,918	395,270	(2,648)	
GREENLEE	930,037	942,844	12,807	
Clifton	247,964	251,537	3,573	
Duncan	80,004	78,678	(1,327)	
LA PAZ	4,302,230	4,315,569	13,339	
Parker	1,247,509	1,245,600	(1,909)	
Quartzsite	1,369,217	1,367,122	(2,095)	
MARICOPA	103,437,559	102,857,939	(579,620)	
Avondale	4,649,978	4,796,828	146,850	
Buckeye Carefree	1,461,603 246,179	1,757,140 254,794	295,537 8,615	
Cave Creek	324,605	329,628	5,023	
Chandler	16,303,059	15,965,796	(337,263)	
El Mirage	2,084,085	2,217,416	133,331	
Gila Bend	144,191	136,942	(7,249)	
Gilbert	12,519,984	11,970,076	(549,908)	
Glendale	16,601,640	16,762,824	161,184	
Goodyear	2,899,642	3,196,202	296,560	
Guadalupe	381,578	384,197	2,619	
Mesa	39,416,452	38,461,491	(954,960)	
Paradise Valley	1,024,107	958,798	(65,308)	
Peoria *	9,656,214	9,553,611	(102,603)	
Phoenix	126,593,508	126,675,481	81,973	
Scottsdale	15,743,880	16,236,014	492,134	
Surprise	5,504,924	6,104,620	599,695	
Tempe	11,305,616	11,466,851	161,235	
Tolleson	384,040	449,417	65,377	
Wickenburg	463,521	420,300	(43,221)	
Youngtown	285,216	426,248	141,031	
Litchfield Park	299,987	313,167	13,180	
Queen Creek *	1,083,190	1,101,342	18,153	
Fountain Hills	1,625,136	1,693,926	68,790	
Apache Junction *	19,343	19,020	(323)	

Highway User Revenue Fund Population Worksheet - A.R.S. 42-5033.01

	n	ES Population	Cor	nbined Population	Variance - DES/Combined
JURISDICTION	D	Estimate	Coi	Estimate 1/	Population Population
JUNISDICTION		Estillate		Estimate	1 opulation
MOHAVE	\$	13,918,152	\$	13,980,092	\$ 61,940
Bullhead City		4,333,378		4,311,139	(22,239)
Colorado City		462,711		460,336	(2,375)
Kingman		2,932,770		2,917,719	(15,051)
Lake Havasu City		6,060,038		6,028,937	(31,101)
NAVAJO		9,602,782		9,669,560	66,778
Pinetop-Lakeside		673,033		670,609	(2,424)
Holbrook		876,640		873,482	(3,157)
Show Low		1,597,342		1,591,589	(5,753)
Snowflake		797,459		794,587	(2,872)
Taylor		662,529		660,143	(2,386)
Winslow		1,589,263		1,583,538	(5,724)
PIMA		47,028,656		47,347,557	318,901
Marana		2,223,059		2,207,505	(15,555)
Oro Valley		3,277,401		3,254,469	(22,932)
Sahuarita		1,163,727		1,155,584	(8,143)
South Tucson		468,319		465,042	(3,277)
Tucson		52,967,401		52,591,054	(376,347)
PINAL		16,138,092		15,887,784	(250,308)
Apache Junction *		3,143,533		3,036,447	(107,086)
Casa Grande		3,020,285		2,917,397	(102,888)
Coolidge		760,885		734,965	(25,920)
Eloy		1,034,822		999,570	(35,252)
Florence		1,909,653		1,844,600	(65,053)
Kearny		203,244		202,070	(1,173)
Mammoth		161,851		158,314	(3,537)
Superior		294,866		284,821	(10,045)
Queen Creek *		45,579		44,026	(1,553)
Winkleman *		465		449	(16)
Maricopa		910,643		1,431,654	521,011
SANTA CRUZ		3,767,437		3,787,296	19,859
Nogales		2,916,589		2,903,884	(12,706)
Patagonia		122,916		122,381	(535)
YAVAPAI		12,402,910		12,478,375	75,466
Camp Verde		953,501		947,256	(6,245)
Chino Valley		1,095,238		1,088,065	(7,173)
Clarkdale		327,016		324,874	(2,142)
Cottonwood		965,054		958,733	(6,321)
Jerome		29,325		29,133	(192)
Prescott		3,622,950		3,599,220	(23,729)
Prescott Valley		2,983,579		2,964,038	(19,542)
Sedona *		696,243		691,682	(4,560)
Peoria *		889		883	(6)
Dewey-Humboldt		358,118		355,773	(2,346)
YUMA		12,134,544		12,196,116	61,572
Somerton		911,155		905,481	(5,675)
San Luis		2,142,851		2,129,505	(13,346)
Wellton		184,100		182,954	(1,147)
Yuma		8,296,186		8,244,517	(51,669)
Total	\$	674,938,000	\$	674,938,000	\$ 0
Cities and Towns	\$	415,871,000	\$	415,871,000	\$ 0
Counties	\$	259,067,000	\$	259,067,000	\$ 0

^{1/} The "combined" population estimate is a combination of the DES estimates for some jurisdictions and a census estimate for other jurisdictions.

^{*} Population in 2 counties - shown separately for HURF distribution.

Vehicle License Tax Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

			Variance -
	DES Population	Combined Population	
JURISDICTION	Estimate	Estimate 1/	Population Population
JUNISDICTION	Estillate	Estillate	ropulation
APACHE			_
Eager	\$ 1,008,896	\$ 1,008,896	\$ -
St. Johns	879,229	879,229	-
Springerville	469,756	469,756	-
COCHISE			-
Benson	269,531	269,531	-
Bisbee	373,591	373,591	-
Douglas	977,762	977,762	-
Huachuca City	104,060	104,060	-
Sierra Vista	2,484,352	2,484,352	-
Tombstone	91,550	91,550	-
Willcox	220,913	220,913	-
COCONINO	6.005.656	6.005.656	
Flagstaff	6,805,656	6,805,656	-
Fredonia	123,466	123,466	-
Page Williams	790,851 349,821	790,851 349,821	-
Sedona *	344,815	349,821 344,815	-
GILA	544,015	344,013	
Globe	693,562	692,579	(983)
Hayden	79,582	82,426	2,844
Miami	180,909	180,653	(256)
Payson	1,427,841	1,425,817	(2,024)
Winkleman *	39,791	40,474	683
Star Valley	185,629	185,365	(263)
GRAHAM			
Pima	114,495	114,495	-
Safford	513,991	513,991	-
Thatcher	249,857	249,857	-
GREENLEE			
Clifton	170,856	172,138	1,283
Duncan	55,126	53,843	(1,283)
LA PAZ	4.000.404	1.050.105	
Parker	1,272,496	1,272,496	-
Quartzsite	1,396,642	1,396,642	-
MARICOPA	2 242 524	2 411 959	69 225
Avondale Buckeye	2,343,524 736,627	2,411,858 883,495	68,335 146,868
Carefree	124,071	128,111	4,040
Cave Creek	163,596	165,738	2,141
Chandler	8,216,513	8,027,646	(188,866)
El Mirage	1,050,350	1,114,923	64,573
Gila Bend	72,670	68,855	(3,816)
Gilbert	6,309,896	6,018,587	(291,309)
Glendale	8,366,993	8,428,394	61,401
Goodyear	1,461,379	1,607,059	145,680
Guadalupe	192,310	193,175	865
Mesa	16,035,466	15,582,561	(452,906)
Paradise Valley	516,135	482,087	(34,049)
Peoria *	4,866,596	4,803,582	(63,014)
Phoenix	51,500,981	51,322,201	(178,780)
Scottsdale	7,934,694	8,163,513	228,818
Surprise	2,774,405	3,069,420	295,015
Tempe	5,697,872	5,765,564	67,693
Tolleson	193,551	225,968	32,418
Wickenburg	233,608	211,328	(22,280)
Youngtown	143,745	214,319	70,574 6,272
Litchfield Park Queen Creek *	151,189 545,912	157,461 553,758	6,272 7,846
Ancen Cleek	343,912	333,138	7,040

Vehicle License Tax Population Worksheet - A.R.S 42-5033.01

	DI	ES Population	Cor	nbined Population	Variance - DES/Combined
JURISDICTION	Estimate Estimate 1/		Population		
					Î
Fountain Hills	\$	819,046	\$	851,711	\$ 32,665
Apache Junction *		9,748		9,563	(185)
MOHAVE					
Bullhead City		3,225,969		3,225,969	-
Colorado City		344,464		344,464	-
Kingman		2,183,291		2,183,291	-
Lake Havasu City		4,511,375		4,511,375	-
NAVAJO		570.020		570.020	
Pinetop-Lakeside		579,839		579,839	-
Holbrook		755,252		755,252	-
Show Low		1,376,160		1,376,160	-
Snowflake		687,036		687,036 570,700	-
Taylor Winslow		570,790		570,790 1,369,200	-
PIMA		1,369,200		1,309,200	-
Marana		1 227 747		1 227 747	
Oro Valley		1,337,747 1,972,206		1,337,747 1,972,206	-
Sahuarita		700,283		700,283	-
South Tucson		281,815		281,815	- -
Tucson		26,518,167		26,518,167	_
PINAL		20,510,107		20,310,107	
Apache Junction *		2,069,588		1,970,185	(99,403)
Casa Grande		1,988,445		1,892,940	(95,505)
Coolidge		500,939		476,879	(24,060)
Eloy		681,289		648,567	(32,722)
Florence		1,257,246		1,196,860	(60,386)
Kearny		133,808		131,112	(2,696)
Mammoth		106,557		102,721	(3,835)
Superior		194,129		184,805	(9,324)
Queen Creek *		30,007		28,566	(1,441)
Winkleman *		306		291	(15)
Maricopa		599,534		928,922	329,388
SANTA CRUZ					
Nogales		2,344,357		2,344,357	-
Patagonia		98,800		98,800	-
YAVAPAI					
Camp Verde		606,408		606,408	-
Chino Valley		696,550		696,550	-
Clarkdale		207,976		207,976	-
Cottonwood		613,755		613,755	-
Jerome		18,650		18,650	-
Prescott		2,304,126		2,304,126	-
Prescott Valley		1,897,499		1,897,499	-
Sedona *		442,797		442,797	-
Peoria *		565		565	-
Dewey-Humboldt		227,756		227,756	-
YUMA		601.000		601.000	
Somerton		601,898		601,898	-
San Luis		1,415,540		1,415,540	-
Wellton		121,614		121,614	-
Yuma		5,480,358		5,480,358	-
Total	\$	213,190,000	\$	213,190,000	\$ 0

1/ The "combined" population estimate is a combination of the DES estimates for some jurisdictions and a census estimate for other jurisdictions.

^{*} Population in 2 counties - shown separately for VLT distribution.